

PEDIATRIC SURGERY Update* Volume 64 No. 05 MAY 2025

Complications Gastrojejunostomy Tubes

Gastrojejunostomy (GJ) tubes are essential for providing enteral nutrition to patients with gastric feeding intolerance, including those with severe gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), neurologic impairment, or dysmotility disorders. Despite their advantages, GJ tubes come with a host of complications that can significantly impact patient care and quality of life. These complications can be broadly categorized into mechanical failures, infections, gastrointestinal issues, and procedural risks.

Mechanical complications are among the most common issues faced by patients with GJ tubes. Tube dislodgement frequently occurs, often necessitating urgent replacement. Studies indicate that younger children and those with neurologic impairment are particularly susceptible to tube migration, leading to ineffective feeding and increased medical interventions. Tube obstruction is another significant problem, primarily due to medication residues or enteral nutrition formulas. Emergency department visits related to tube occlusion are reported in a substantial percentage of cases, underscoring the need for routine flushing protocols. Additionally, balloon rupture and leakage at the insertion site lead to increased morbidity, causing peristomal skin irritation and the formation of granulation tissue.

Infectious and inflammatory complications also pose a major concern. Peristomal infections are frequently reported, particularly in immunocompromised patients, with some studies citing infection rates as high as 25%. Granulation tissue formation, a chronic inflammatory response to irritation, occurs in a significant proportion of cases, sometimes requiring cauterization or surgical revision. Furthermore, aspiration pneumonia remains a notable risk, despite the postpyloric positioning of GJ tubes. Hospitalization due to aspiration-related complications is reported in nearly a quarter of pediatric GJ tube patients, indicating that while these tubes reduce reflux, they do not entirely eliminate aspiration risk.

Gastrointestinal complications can be severe, with intestinal perforation being one of the most alarming outcomes. The risk of perforation is disproportionately higher in infants weighing less than 10 kg, and cases often require surgical intervention. Another serious complication is intussusception, where the jejunal limb of the GJ tube serves as a lead point for bowel telescoping, potentially leading to ischemia. Though relatively rare, gastrocolic fistula formation is another dangerous outcome of prolonged GJ tube use or misplacement, manifesting as severe diarrhea and malnutrition.

Procedural risks associated with GJ tubes cannot be ignored. Placement and replacements often require fluoroscopic guidance, leading to cumulative radiation exposure. Patients undergoing multiple tube replacements per year may be at risk for long-term radiation-

related complications. The necessity for procedural sedation in younger patients further compounds the risks, necessitating careful clinical judgment regarding tube exchanges.

Mitigating these complications requires a multifaceted approach. Routine tube changes at six-to-twelve-month intervals have been associated with lower rates of emergency interventions and reduced radiation exposure. Proper placement techniques, including fluoroscopic or endoscopic-assisted insertions, help minimize malposition-related complications. Additionally, caregiver education plays a crucial role in reducing complications by ensuring proper tube maintenance, medication administration, and early identification of potential issues.

GJ tubes serve as a critical intervention for patients who cannot tolerate gastric feeding, but their use is accompanied by significant risks. Awareness and proactive management of these complications are essential to optimize patient outcomes and improve quality of life. By implementing routine monitoring, optimizing placement techniques, and providing thorough caregiver education, healthcare providers can mitigate the challenges associated with GJ tube use and enhance patient safety.

References:

1- Demehri FR, Simha S, Herrman E, Jarboe MD, Geiger JD, Teitelbaum DH, Gadepalli SK: Analysis of risk factors contributing to morbidity from gastrojejunostomy feeding tubes in children. J Pediatr Surg. 51(6):1005-9, 2016

2- Morse J, Baird R, Muchantef K, Levesque D, Morinville V, Puligandla PS: Gastrojejunostomy tube complications - A single center experience and systematic review. J Pediatr Surg. 52(5):726-733, 2017
3- Ronning MM, Gaillard P, Wey A, Roback MG: Comparison of Emergency Department Visits for Complications of Gastrostomy Versus Gastrojejunostomy Tubes in Children. Pediatr Emerg Care. 33(10):e71-e74, 2017

4- Kumbhar SS, Plunk MR, Nikam R, Boyd KP, Thakrar PD: Complications of percutaneous gastrostomy and gastrojejunostomy tubes in children. Pediatr Radiol. 50(3):404-414, 2020

5- Hoang TT, Maroo S, Lee EJ, Dewan T, Heran M, Avinashi V: Routine Elective Gastrojejunostomy Tube Changes Are Associated With Reduced Tube Complications and Radiation Exposure. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 76(1):80-83, 2023

6- Zhao OS, Shipe ME, Danko ME, Huang EY, Robindon JR. Complication Rates and Variability in Gastrojejunostomy Tube Usage in Infants and Children. J Pediatr Surg. 60:162047, 2025

Robotic Surgery in Pediatric Oncology

Robotic-assisted surgery has emerged as a revolutionary approach in pediatric oncology, offering increased precision, reduced invasiveness, and shorter recovery times compared to traditional surgical methods. Despite its widespread adoption in adult oncology, its application in pediatric patients remains limited due to concerns regarding safety, feasibility, and cost. However, recent studies and clinical experiences indicate that robotic-assisted techniques are not only viable but also beneficial in select pediatric oncological procedures.

One of the primary advantages of robotic-assisted surgery is the ability to perform highly precise movements within small anatomical spaces. The robotic platform offers threedimensional visualization, tremor filtration, and motion scaling, all of which enhance the surgeon's ability to perform delicate dissections and resections. These features are particularly advantageous in pediatric surgery, where tissue preservation and minimal disruption to surrounding structures are paramount. The da Vinci Surgical System has been at the forefront of robotic pediatric oncology, demonstrating its potential in abdominal, thoracic, and pelvic tumor resections.

A nationwide study reviewing the first 100 robotic-assisted tumor resections in pediatric patients highlighted the feasibility and safety of this technique. Over a four-year period, 89 children underwent 93 robotic-assisted procedures, with a variety of tumor types addressed, including neuroblastomas, Wilms' tumors, germ cell tumors, and neuroendocrine tumors. The median age at surgery was 8.2 years, and the median hospital stay was three days. Importantly, no intraoperative tumor ruptures were reported, and only seven cases (8%) required conversion to an open approach due to technical difficulties. These findings suggest that robotic surgery can be a safe and effective option for pediatric tumors when performed in specialized centers with experienced surgical teams.

Robotic-assisted surgery has demonstrated particular utility in the management of neuroblastic tumors. Neuroblastomas, ganglioneuroblastomas, and ganglioneuromas frequently present challenges due to their location near critical vascular structures. In a study of 31 pediatric patients undergoing robotic-assisted resection for neuroblastic tumors, surgeons reported a high rate of complete tumor excision without complications. The three-dimensional visualization and precision control afforded by robotic systems allowed for meticulous dissection of tumors from adjacent vital structures, reducing the risk of complications and improving oncologic outcomes.

Similarly, robotic-assisted surgery has been explored for the treatment of Wilms' tumor, a common pediatric renal malignancy. Traditional surgical approaches involve large incisions and extensive dissection, leading to prolonged recovery times. In contrast, robotic-assisted nephrectomy has been shown to reduce operative blood loss, shorten hospital stays, and improve cosmetic outcomes. A recent multicenter review of robotic-assisted Wilms' tumor resections reported successful tumor excision in all cases, with minimal complications and no instances of local recurrence at follow-up. These findings support the use of robotic-assisted techniques as a viable alternative to open surgery in select pediatric renal tumors.

Beyond abdominal tumors, robotic-assisted surgery has been employed for thoracic malignancies, including mediastinal neurogenic tumors and thymic malignancies. The minimally invasive nature of robotic surgery is particularly beneficial in thoracic procedures, where avoiding large thoracotomies reduces postoperative pain and accelerates recovery. In a review of robotic-assisted thoracic tumor resections in pediatric patients, complete tumor excision was achieved in all cases, with only two instances requiring conversion to an open approach due to poor visualization. The study concluded that robotic-assisted thoracic tumors, provided that patient selection is carefully considered.

Despite these promising results, the adoption of robotic-assisted surgery in pediatric oncology has been met with challenges. One of the main limitations is the lack of

standardized guidelines for patient selection and surgical technique. Given the rarity of pediatric cancers, the development of evidence-based recommendations has been slow, and most published studies rely on retrospective analyses and single-center experiences. The variability in tumor histology, patient age, and tumor location further complicates the establishment of universal criteria for robotic-assisted surgery in pediatric oncology.

Another significant challenge is the cost associated with robotic-assisted surgery. The acquisition and maintenance of robotic systems are expensive, and the longer operative times compared to traditional laparoscopic procedures can increase hospital costs. However, proponents of robotic surgery argue that the reduced length of hospital stay, decreased complication rates, and improved long-term outcomes justify the initial investment. Moreover, as robotic technology continues to evolve and become more widely available, costs are expected to decrease, making robotic-assisted surgery a more accessible option for pediatric oncology patients.

Training and experience are also critical factors in the successful implementation of roboticassisted surgery in pediatric oncology. Unlike adult patients, pediatric patients present unique anatomical and physiological challenges that require specialized surgical expertise. Surgeons must undergo extensive training to develop proficiency in robotic techniques, and institutional support is necessary to establish dedicated robotic surgical programs. Some centers have adopted a mentorship model, where experienced robotic surgeons train less experienced colleagues using dual-console systems. This approach facilitates the safe adoption of robotic-assisted surgery and ensures that oncologic principles are upheld.

The future of robotic-assisted surgery in pediatric oncology is promising, with ongoing research focusing on refining surgical techniques and expanding indications. Advances in image-guided surgery, such as fluorescence imaging and augmented reality, are expected to enhance tumor visualization and improve surgical precision. Additionally, the development of smaller robotic instruments tailored for pediatric patients may further optimize outcomes and broaden the applicability of robotic-assisted techniques.

As robotic-assisted surgery continues to gain traction in pediatric oncology, multicenter collaborations and prospective studies will be essential to establish standardized protocols and validate long-term outcomes. The integration of robotics into pediatric oncology represents a significant advancement in surgical care, offering the potential to improve survival rates, reduce treatment-related morbidity, and enhance the overall quality of life for pediatric cancer patients. While challenges remain, the ongoing evolution of robotic technology and the growing body of clinical evidence support the continued exploration and refinement of robotic-assisted techniques in pediatric oncology.

References:

1- Vatta F, Gazzaneo M, Bertozzi M, Raffaele A, Avolio L, Riccipetitoni G: Robotics-Assisted Pediatric Oncology Surgery—A Preliminary Single-Center Report and a Systematic Review of Published Studies. Front Pediatr. 9:780830, 2022

2- Blanc T, Meignan P, Vinit N, Ballouhey Q, Pio L, Capito C, Harte C, Vatta F, Galmiche-Rolland L, Minard V, Orbach D, Berteloot L, Muller C, Kohaut J, Broch A, Braik K, Binet A, Heloury Y, Fourcade L, Lardy H, Sarnacki S: Robotic Surgery in Pediatric Oncology: Lessons Learned from the First 100 Tumors—A

Nationwide Experience. Ann Surg Oncol. 29(2):1315-1326, 2022

3- Jacobson JC, Scrushy MG, Gillory LA, Pandya SR: Utilization of Robotics in Pediatric Surgical Oncology. Semin Pediatr Surg. 32(1):151263, 2023

4- Vinit N, Sarnacki S, Blanc T: Robotic-Assisted Laparoscopy in Pediatric Surgical Oncology: A Narrative Review. Transl Pediatr. 26;12(12):2256-2266, 2023

5- Svetanoff WJ, Carter M, Diefenbach KA, Michalsky M, DaJusta D, Gong E, Lautz TB, Aldrink JH: Robotic-Assisted Pediatric Thoracic and Abdominal Tumor Resection: An Initial Multi-center Review. J Pediatr Surg. 59(8):1619-1625, 2024

6- Blanc T, Taghavi K, Glenisson M, Capito C, Couloigner V, Vinit N, Sarnacki S: Robotic Surgery in Paediatric Oncology: Expanding Boundaries and Defining Relevant Indications. J Pediatr Surg. 60(3):162017, 2025

*Edited by: Humberto Lugo-Vicente, MD, FACS, FAAP

Professor of Pediatric Surgery, UPR - School of Medicine, UCC School of Medicine & Ponce School of Medicine. Pediatric Surgery, San Jorge Hospital. Postal Address: P.O. Box 10426, San Juan, Puerto Rico USA 00922-0426. Tel (787) 340-1868 E-mail: *pediatricsurgerypr@gmail.net* Internet: pedsurgeryupdate.com

* *PSU 1993-2025* ISSN 1089-7739

